

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,092	02/16/2001	Iwao Miyajima	AKI-C052	2721	
30132	7590 03/11/2002				
GEORGE A. LOUD 3137 MOUNT VERNON AVENUE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22305		EXAMINER			
			DEPUMPO,	DEPUMPO, DANIEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3611		
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2002	DATE MAILED: 03/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/763,092

Applicant(s)

Examiner

→ Office Action Summary

🗝 Daniel G. DePumpo

Art Unit

Miyajima

3611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months, after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 16, 2001 2b) X This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) (Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) (Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) X Claims 1-22 . are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _ 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Application/Control Number: 09/763,092

Art Unit: 3611

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so inventions inventions or groups of inventions which are not so inventions as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1 499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a swing arm.

Group II, claim(s) 13-22, drawn to a method for producing a swing arm.

- The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons. The special technical feature of the method lies in the step of "foaming said raw". This is not a required feature of the swing arm. Therefore, the inventions lack the same or corresponding special technical features.
- This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- i figs. 6-11
- ii figs. 12-17
- iii fig 21.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,092 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: In each of the species, the special technical feature lies in the procedure for filling. Since this feature differs in each of the species listed above, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

dgd

February 28, 2002

DANIEL G. DePUMPO PRIMARY EXAMINER